HOGUET NEWMAN REGAL & KENNEY,LLP

10 East 40th Street New York, New York 10016 Tel 212.689.8808 Fax 212.689.5101 www.hnrklaw.com

jkenney@hnrklaw.com

March 23, 2018

VIA ECF

Hon. Katharine H. Parker United States Magistrate Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St. New York, NY 10007-1312

Re: City of Almaty, Kazahkstan, et al. v. Mukhtar Ablyazov, et al., Case No. 1:15-cv-05345-AJN-KHP

Dear Judge Parker:

We represent the Khrapunov Defendants in the above-referenced litigation, and we write in further support of our motion for partial reconsideration of the Court's March 5, 2018 order sanctioning Ilyas Khrapunov.

BTA/Almaty's opposition misconstrues the basis of our request, which addresses the portion of the Court's decision that cites counsel's request to permit telephone testimony at any sanctions hearing as an example of Ilyas Khrapunov's playing games with the Court. There is no basis in the record or elsewhere to infer that Mr. Khrapunov feigned an ability to testify by phone as a way to bolster his credibility. BTA/Almaty's principal argument is that our motion does not rely on new evidence, *see* ECF No. 575 at p. 2-3, but that misses the point. Our argument is that there is no evidence in the record or otherwise to show that Mr. Khrapunov, rather than his counsel, requested permission for Mr. Khrapunov to testify by phone. That portion of the Court's order is thus based on an erroneous assessment of the evidence and should be

Case 1:15-cv-05345-AJN-KHP Document 585 Filed 03/23/18 Page 2 of 2

Judge Parker March 23, 2018 Page 2 HOGUET NEWMAN REGAL & KENNEY,LLP

reconsidered. See Gomez v. City of New York, 805 F.3d 419, 423 (2d Cir. 2015) (observing that motions for reconsideration are reviewed for abuse of discretion, i.e., whether a court "bases its ruling on an erroneous view of the law or on a clearly erroneous assessment of the evidence.").

The Khrapunovs also request that the Court disregard the numerous, inaccurate characterizations of Ilyas Khrapunov's conduct set forth in BTA/Almaty's opposition papers (ECF No. 575) as unsupported by any record evidence.

Very truly yours,

John J. Kenney